Case 1:08-cv-02248-AKH Document 85 Filed 06/12/13 Page 1 of 2
USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: \(\(\) \(\) \(\) \(\) DATE FILED: \(\) \(

IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER LOWER MANHATTAN DISASTER SITE LITIGATION

SUMMARY ORDER OF
HEARING DISMISSING
CASES AND PRECLUDING
NEW INJURY CLAIMS

21 MC 102 (AKH) 05 Civ. 3090 07 Civ. 5390 08 Civ. 2248 08 Civ. 2256 08 Civ. 2319

ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN, U.S.D.J.:

On June 12, 2012, argument was heard on Defendants' motions to dismiss Plaintiffs David Vivar, Rolendio Cabrera, and Lucyna Foremska for failure to prosecute, and Plaintiffs Cristhian Tapia and Seweryn Wojciechowski for violating established discovery procedures.

For the reasons stated on the record, the cases of Plaintiffs Vivar (07 Civ. 5390) and Cabrera (08 Civ. 2256) are dismissed pursuant to Rule 41(b) for failure to prosecute. Having chosen these cases for pre-trial discovery as part of Group II, Defendants will select two new cases for discovery. Plaintiff Foremska's case (05 Civ. 3090) is not dismissed, but is hereby removed from Group II. The Court, having selected the case for pre-trial discovery, will select a replacement.

Plaintiffs Tapia (08 Civ. 2248) and Wojciechowski (08 Civ. 2319) are precluded from asserting new injury claims arising from medical disclosures made in February 2013, months after Plaintiffs were chosen to proceed with pre-trial discovery. Plaintiffs are limited to asserting injury claims, supported by diagnoses and medical tests, previously disclosed on the TCDI system.

The parties also discussed procedures for taking physician depositions. All fact discovery for Group I and Group II cases must be completed by August 30, 2013. Either party may take the deposition of a treating physician within that period. If, however, a party seeks to take a physician's deposition only if opposing counsel plans to call that physician as a witness at trial, the party may inquire whether opposing counsel intends to call that physician as a witness. If opposing counsel indicates an intention to call that witness, the party may depose the physician-witness within a reasonable time of opposing counsel's response, even if that extends beyond the August 30, 2013 deadline, so long as other deadlines in the Court's March 22, 2013 Case Management Order are not affected. If further clarification is required, the parties may submit a proposed order.

The Clerk shall mark the motions (Doc. Nos. 4781 and 4758) terminated.

Inited States District Judge

SO ORDERED

Dated:

June //, 2013

New York, New York